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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Among the vasopressor Dopamine and Norepinephrine are most widely used. Till date there is a continuing controversy about whether one agent is superior to the other.

Method: This was a prospective randomized trial conducted in a 12 bedded ICU at a tertiary care center from 1st August 2014 to 31stAugust 2015 a total of 1046 patients requiring a vasopressor were randomized, received either Dopamine or Norepinephrine as the first drug of choice to maintain target Mean arterial Pressure. The outcome of the trial at the end was the rate of death at 28 days. Further at the end we would also see the adverse events due to drug and mortality during ICU stay and number of days stay in the ICU and hospital.

Result: In the study 521 were assigned to receive Dopamine and 525 were assigned to receive Norepinephrine. The 28 days mortality in Dopamine arm was 51.6% (269/521) and in Norepinephrine arm was 47.2% (248/525). Arrhythmias was seen more in Dopamine arm (26.12%) compared to Norepinephrine arm (14.40%). Mortality during ICU stay was more in patients who receive Dopamine (51.6%) then to patients who receive Norepinephrine (46.1%) although this difference was not statically significant (P-value >0.05). Further the length of stay in ICU and in hospital was more in patients who received Dopamine (59.20%) than Norepinephrin (57.30%) but it was statically not significant (P-value >0.05).

Conclusion: Dopamine when compared with norepinephrine in this study was associated with more arrhythmias and with an increased rate of death in the subgroup of patients with cardiogenic shock. Although the rate of death did not differ significantly between the group of patients treated with dopamine and the group treated with norepinephrine.
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INTRODUCTION

Shock is best defined as a life-threatening, generalized form of acute circulatory failure associated with inadequate oxygen utilization by the cells.1 Circulatory shock is a life-threatening condition that is associated with high mortality. In the literature, the reported incidence of septic shock has varied between 6.3% and 14.7% of ICU admissions. The mortality rate reported in cases of septic shock was 40 to 60%.2,3 The incidence of shock complicating acute myocardial infarction (AMI) has been relatively stable between 6% and 9%.6,7,8,9,10 Adrenergic agents are frequently required to correct hypotension. Among these agents, dopamine and norepinephrine are used most frequently. Guidelines and expert recommendations suggest that either agent may be used as a first-choice vasopressor in patients with shock.11-13 However, observational studies have shown that the administration of dopamine may be associated...
with rates of death that are higher than those associated with the administration of norepinephrine.\textsuperscript{14-15} Thus our aim was to conduct a study to evaluate the response of two vasopressors: Dopamine and Norepinephrine in patients of shock. Further to study the Survival rates and the adverse outcomes from either of the two drugs in patients of shock.

\textbf{METHOD}

This was a prospective randomized trial conducted in a 12 bedded ICU at SAMC & PGI, Indore, (M.P.) a tertiary care center from 1\textsuperscript{st} August 2014 to 31\textsuperscript{st} August 2015. The inclusion criteria of the study were Age 18 years and above, Patients with shock (signs of tissue hypoperfusion indicated by systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg or MAP<70 mm Hg, Patients with Septic shock, Cardiogenic shock and other types of shock (distributive, obstructive,Hypovolemic shock). Exclusion criteria of the study were Younger than 18 years of age, patients who have already received a vasopressor agent (dopamine, norepinephrine, epinephrine, or phenylephrine) for more than 4 hours during the current episode of shock. Patients who have arrhythmia like atrial fibrillation (>160 beats per minute) or ventricular tachycardia; or had been declared brain-dead. A total 1046 patients requiring a vasopressor were randomized, recieved either dopamine or Norepinephrine. The solutions of norepinephrine or dopamine were prepared in vials or syringes according to the preference of the local ICU. Each vial or syringe was then labeled. The trial was approved by the ethics committee at each participating center. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or next of kin. The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score and the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score was calculated daily for the first 7 days and then at 28 days. Patients received either dopamine which was started at a dose of 5 mcg/kg/min which could be increased incrementally to a maximum dose of 20 mcg/kg/min, or Norepinephrine, started at 0.02 mcg/kg/min and increased to a maximum dose of 0.12 mcg/kg/min. The primary end point of the trial was the rate of death at 28 days. Secondary end points were the adverse events due to drug and mortality during ICU stay and stay in the hospital. The statistical analysis was done by use of SPSS software; version 13.0 (SPSS).All reported P values are two-sided and have not been adjusted for multiple testing.

\textbf{RESULT}

In the study 521 were assigned to receive Dopamine and 525 were assigned to receive Norepinephrine. Of all the patients included in the study 58% (607/1046) were diagnosed as sepsis, 32% (335/1046) are of Cardiogenic Shock and 10% (104/1046) were Obstructive Shock.\textbf{(Figure-1)} The 28 days mortality \textbf{(Figure-2)} in Dopamine arm was 51.6% (269/521) and in Norepinephrine arm was 47.2% (248/525). Arrhythmias \textbf{(Figure-3)}were seen more in Dopamine arm (26.12%) compare to Norepinephrin arm (14.40%). Mortality during ICU stay was more in patients who receive Dopamine (51.6%) then to patients who receive Norepinephrin (46.1%) although this difference was not statically significant (P-value >0.05). Further the length of stay in ICU and in hospital was more in patients who received Dopamine (59.20%) than Norepinephrin (57.30%) but it was statically not significant (P-value >0.05).

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

No significant difference in the rate of death at 28 days between patients who received dopamine and those who received norepinephrine. Dopamine was associated with more arrythmic events than was norepinephrine, and arrhythmic events that were severe enough to require withdrawal from the study were more frequent in the dopamine group. In addition, dopamine was associated with a significant increase in the rate of death in the predefined subgroup of patients with cardiogenic shock. Observational studies have shown higher death rates with dopamine than with norepinephrine in patients...
Dopamine when compared with norepinephrine in this study was associated with more arrhythmias and with an increased rate of death in the subgroup of patients with cardiogenic shock. Although the rate of death did not differ significantly between the group of patients treated with dopamine and the group treated with norepinephrine, this study raises serious concerns about the safety of dopamine therapy. The limitation of Study were (i) Dobutamine and other vasopressors were not included in the study studied (ii) Hydrocortisone given to patients of septic shock were not included in studied & (iii) Unclear how frequent supportive interventions (eg, intraaortic balloon pumps) were used but not included in the study.
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